

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8
9 IN THE MATTER OF PETITIONER, } Case No. 2:15-cv-00498-MMD-NJK
10 LORENA A. SORIA } ORDER
11

12 On April 29, 2015, the Court denied without prejudice petitioner's request for the issuance of
13 subpoenas in relation to a proceeding in the Patent and Trademark Office. Docket No. 3. The Court
14 advised petitioner of various deficiencies in that request and indicated that she could renew her request
15 if she believed she could cure the deficiencies. *See id.* at 2. The Court warned petitioner: "Any
16 renewed request shall be filed no later than May 13, 2015. The failure to renew the request by
17 that date will result in the Court administratively closing this action." *Id.* at 2-3 (emphasis in
18 original). Petitioner has since filed a notice of appearance by an attorney, *see* Docket No. 4, but she has
19 not filed a renewed request for issuance of subpoenas.

20 Accordingly, the Court hereby **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk's Office to close this case.¹

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: May 15, 2015

23 
24 Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

25
26 ¹ The fact that this ruling terminates this miscellaneous discovery action does not render it
27 "dispositive" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 636, *see, e.g.*, *Agincourt Gaming, LLC v. Zynga, Inc.*, 2014 WL
28 4079555, *2 (D. Nev. Aug. 15, 2014) (citing *Feist v. RCN Corp.*, 2012 WL 4835038, *1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4,
2012)), so the Court's ruling is fashioned as an "order" rather than a "report and recommendation."